

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,027	09/16/2003	Asta M. Magnusdottir	6472P001	4483	
8791	7791 7590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			VU, STE	VU, STEPHEN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		3636		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/665,027	MAGNUSDOTTIR, ASTA M.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen A. Vu	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and I was a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a  cause the application to become ABANDONE	l.  ely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prospection as to the mosts is					
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	, r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Characteristics of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/665,027

Art Unit: 3636

4

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,6-11,14-15, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibson (#5,238,293).

Gibson shows an apparatus comprising a box-shaped seat liner (10) having an open top side and at least one opening (58) and having a pocket (40) disposed on an external surface of the of the seat liner.

With claims 6-7, an attachment mechanism (46) is provided, which can be used to releasably attach to a toy.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,027 . Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4,12-13, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibson (#5,238,293) in view of Cohen-Fyffe (#6,129,417).

Gibson discloses the claimed invention except for apparatus to have at least one opening and a sleeve. Cohen-Fyffe teaches a seat liner comprising two openings with two sleeves (30) to allow a seat belt to pass through. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ two opening with two sleeves (30) of Cohen-Fyffe's invention to Gibson's seat liner, in order to allow a seat belt from the shopping cart to pass through for use to retain the child in place.

#### Remarks

The examiner has reviewed and considered the applicant's comments in the Amendment, filed on September 9, 2005. Accordingly, this Office action is considered to be Non-final.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-6862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Vu

November 15, 2005